

Transitivity Analysis in the Construction of Newspaper Ideology: A Comparative Study on The New York Times and The Washington Times` Editorials

IKA MIRANTI

*Program Studi Sastra Inggris - S1, Fakultas Ilmu Budaya,
Universitas Dian Nuswantoro Semarang*

URL : <http://dinus.ac.id/>

Email : 311201101284@mhs.dinus.ac.id

ABSTRAK

This thesis entitled "Transitivity Analysis in the Construction of Newspaper Ideology: A Comparative Study on The New York Times and The Washington Times` Editorials" has two objectives. First, it is aimed at finding out the types of process and participant derived from the transitivity analysis on the texts, second it is aimed at finding out the newspapers ideologies reflected on that transitivity analysis on the texts. The researcher chooses this research because both texts politically bring out different opinions about undocumented immigrants. The subject of this study is two editorials entitled "Deportees, Now and Then" from The New York Times newspaper, and "Tough questions about immigration reform" from The Washington Times newspaper. Both are downloaded from each newspaper's website. The technique of data analysis in this study is a qualitative one. Both data were collected by reading both texts and segmenting them into clauses. The researcher then conducted transitivity analysis to figure out the types of process and participant in both texts. Next, the researcher investigated the pattern of transitivity that constructs power and ideology, as well as making comparison on both texts. From the analysis, it can be concluded that the highest percentage of process in both newspapers is material process. However, the assignment of participants in The New York Times is unlike the ones in The Washington Times. In The New York Times' editorial, undocumented immigrants are portrayed as victim and are attributed positively. Whereas in The Washington Times' editorial, undocumented immigrants are portrayed as trouble maker and are attributed negatively. This shows that ideologically, The New York Times with its liberal bias wants its readers to support the enactment of immigration reform (pro-immigrant). Whereas The Washington Times with its conservative bias wants its readers to be against the enactment of immigration reform (anti-immigrant).

Kata Kunci : Critical Discourse Analysis, Ideology, The New York Times, The Washington Times, Transitivity

Transitivity Analysis in the Construction of Newspaper Ideology: A Comparative Study on The New York Times and The Washington Times` Editorials

IKA MIRANTI

*Program Studi Sastra Inggris - S1, Fakultas Ilmu Budaya,
Universitas Dian Nuswantoro Semarang
URL : <http://dinus.ac.id/>
Email : 311201101284@mhs.dinus.ac.id*

ABSTRACT

This thesis entitled "Transitivity Analysis in the Construction of Newspaper Ideology: A Comparative Study on The New York Times and The Washington Times` Editorials" has two objectives. First, it is aimed at finding out the types of process and participant derived from the transitivity analysis on the texts, second it is aimed at finding out the newspapers ideologies reflected on that transitivity analysis on the texts. The researcher chooses this research because both texts politically bring out different opinions about undocumented immigrants. The subject of this study is two editorials entitled "Deportees, Now and Then" from The New York Times newspaper, and "Tough questions about immigration reform" from The Washington Times newspaper. Both are downloaded from each newspaper's website. The technique of data analysis in this study is a qualitative one. Both data were collected by reading both texts and segmenting them into clauses. The researcher then conducted transitivity analysis to figure out the types of process and participant in both texts. Next, the researcher investigated the pattern of transitivity that constructs power and ideology, as well as making comparison on both texts. From the analysis, it can be concluded that the highest percentage of process in both newspapers is material process. However, the assignment of participants in The New York Times is unlike the ones in The Washington Times. In The New York Times' editorial, undocumented immigrants are portrayed as victim and are attributed positively. Whereas in The Washington Times' editorial, undocumented immigrants are portrayed as trouble maker and are attributed negatively. This shows that ideologically, The New York Times with its liberal bias wants its readers to support the enactment of immigration reform (pro-immigrant). Whereas The Washington Times with its conservative bias wants its readers to be against the enactment of immigration reform (anti-immigrant).

Keyword : Critical Discourse Analysis, Ideology, The New York Times, The Washington Times, Transitivity